Copyright

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress. All rights reserved. These lessons are provided free for personal learning on Fortress. You may download and read for yourself. You may not upload, sell, publish, or claim these lessons as your own. Official certificates of completion are only issued on Fortress after passing the test at 80% or higher.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

The Cost of Ignoring Employee Feedback

Every workplace runs on two kinds of knowledge. There is the knowledge in the manuals, the dashboards, and the plans made in meeting rooms. And there is the knowledge held by the people who do the work every day — the person who knows which machine makes a strange sound before it breaks, the person who hears what customers actually complain about, the person who can see that a new process looks good on paper and fails on a busy Tuesday. Employee feedback is how that second kind of knowledge reaches the people who can act on it. When it flows, a workplace learns. When it is ignored, a workplace does not stop having problems. It just stops hearing about them until they become expensive, dangerous, or public.

This lesson set is about what really happens when workplaces ignore feedback, and how to build something better. It is for managers and team leads who want honest information from their teams, for owners of small businesses who cannot afford to lose good people, for frontline workers who have stopped speaking up and want to understand why that happened, and for anyone who has ever filled in a survey, suggested an improvement, or raised a concern and then heard nothing back. You do not need a human resources department or a big budget to use what is here. You need a way for people to speak, a habit of listening properly, and the discipline to close the loop — to tell people what you heard, what you did, and what you could not do and why.

The cost of ignoring feedback is rarely one dramatic moment. It is a slow leak. Trust drains first, quietly. Then good people leave, one resignation at a time, and take their knowledge with them. Small safety warnings that nobody acted on grow into injuries. Ideas that could have saved money or won customers die unspoken because the last three ideas were met with silence. In the worst cases, concerns that were raised internally and dismissed come back as legal claims, regulatory attention, or headlines — at a far higher price than listening would ever have cost. Almost every workplace disaster, looked at afterward, contains a sentence like this: "Someone raised that months ago." This set teaches you to make sure that sentence is never written about your workplace.

You will learn to recognize the real costs in plain terms, to spot the warning signs that your feedback channels have stopped working, to build channels people actually use, to listen in a way that makes people willing to speak again, and to act and report back so that feedback becomes a loop instead of a dead end. The standard throughout is simple and practical: people should be able to raise a problem early, safely, and easily — and they should be able to see that raising it made a difference.

What You Will Learn

- What employee feedback really is, in all its forms — and why the informal kind matters as much as surveys and suggestion boxes.
- The five real costs of ignoring feedback: lost trust, turnover, safety problems, lost ideas, and legal and reputation risk.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

- How to spot the warning signs that people have stopped speaking up in your workplace.
- How to build feedback channels that people actually use, including options for people who do not feel safe speaking openly.
- How to listen well: receiving feedback without defensiveness, asking questions that draw out the full picture, and separating the message from the delivery.
- How to close the loop — acknowledging, deciding, acting, and reporting back — so people keep speaking.
- How to handle feedback you cannot act on, feedback that is wrong, and feedback that raises serious concerns.
- How to keep a simple feedback record and rhythm that survives busy weeks and staff changes.

Lesson 1 — What Feedback Is, and What Silence Really Means

Employee feedback is any information that flows from the people doing the work to the people who can change how the work is done. Some of it is formal: engagement surveys, suggestion schemes, exit interviews, performance conversations, safety reports, grievance procedures. Much more of it is informal, and informal feedback is where workplaces live or die. It is the remark in the corridor — "that new rota is causing chaos on Fridays." It is the joke that is not really a joke — "we just smile and fix it ourselves, like always." It is the new starter asking, in week two, "why do we do it this way?" and being told, "we just do." Every one of those moments is data. A workplace that only counts the formal kind is listening through a keyhole and calling it a window.

Feedback also comes in different weights, and a good listener learns to sort them. There are observations: "the delivery door sticks and people prop it open." There are frustrations: "we are short-staffed every Sunday and it is burning people out." There are ideas: "if we moved the stock check to Thursday, Friday would run smoothly." There are warnings: "that ladder is damaged" or "a customer threatened staff again last night." And there are concerns about conduct: "I do not think the night team is being treated fairly." Each kind needs a different response — an observation may need a quick fix, a warning may need same-day action, a conduct concern may need a careful, private process — but all of them need the same first thing: to be received as if they matter.

The most important idea in this lesson is the hardest one for many managers to accept: silence does not mean everything is fine. Silence usually means one of three things. People may have nothing safe to say it through — no channel, no private route, no time in the day when speaking is possible. People may believe speaking is risky — that they will be labelled difficult, punished with worse shifts, or quietly frozen out. Or people may believe speaking is pointless — that they spoke before, nothing changed, nobody even replied, and they have decided not to waste their breath again. The third reason is the most common, and it is the one this whole set is built to fix. Psychologists call the underlying condition psychological safety: the shared belief that you will not be punished or humiliated for speaking up with ideas, questions, concerns, or mistakes.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

Where it exists, problems surface early, when they are cheap and easy to fix. Where it does not, problems surface late — in resignations, accidents, tribunals, and reviews.

There is a useful way to picture this. Every time an employee speaks up, they are making a small calculation: is it worth it? They weigh the effort and the risk against the chance that something will change. Every response they get — or fail to get — updates that calculation. A thank-you and a visible fix make the next piece of feedback more likely. Silence makes it less likely. A defensive or punishing response can end feedback from that person, and from everyone who hears about it, for a very long time. Feedback is therefore not a tap you turn on when you want it. It is a well you either keep clean or poison, one response at a time. Managers often say, "my door is always open," and mean it sincerely. But an open door is not a channel. People judge the door by what happened to the last person who walked through it.

The Steps

1. List every way feedback can currently reach you: surveys, meetings, one-to-ones, suggestion routes, safety reports, informal conversations, exit interviews. Write them down honestly.
2. For each channel, write down the last piece of real feedback it produced and what happened to it. If you cannot remember, that channel is decoration, not a channel.
3. Sort recent feedback you have heard into the five kinds — observations, frustrations, ideas, warnings, conduct concerns — and check whether each kind got the response it needed.
4. Ask yourself the silence question directly: if my team went quiet, which of the three reasons would it be — no safe route, fear of consequences, or belief that it is pointless?
5. Notice your informal feedback this week. Keep a private note of corridor remarks, jokes with an edge, and questions from new starters. Treat them as data, not noise.
6. Pick one piece of feedback you have received recently and trace its full journey: who heard it, what was decided, what was done, and whether the person who raised it ever found out.

A Worked Example

A warehouse supervisor named Daniel believed his team had "no complaints." Team meetings were quiet, the suggestion box by the office had been empty for months, and he took both as good signs. Then two experienced pickers resigned in the same month. In their exit conversations — held, usefully, by someone outside Daniel's line — both said the same thing: the new scanning targets were impossible to meet without skipping the safety check on the cage, staff had raised it three times in the spring, and nothing had changed and nobody had replied. The feedback had existed. It had even been spoken aloud. But because it was never acknowledged, never answered, and never acted on, the team had quietly reclassified speaking as pointless. The silence Daniel was proud of was not contentment. It was the sound of a channel that had already failed, and the resignations were the bill arriving.

Common Mistakes

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

- **Treating silence as satisfaction.** Quiet teams are often resigned teams. The absence of complaints is evidence about your channels, not about your workplace.
- **Counting only formal feedback.** If your survey says one thing and the corridor says another, believe the corridor and fix the survey.
- **Saying "my door is always open" and stopping there.** An open door still requires a person to walk through it, in view of everyone, to the most powerful person in the room. Build routes that do not require courage.
- **Treating all feedback as the same weight.** A safety warning answered at the speed of a suggestion-scheme idea is a warning ignored in practice.
- **Assuming feedback is a mood, not information.** Frustration can sound like complaining while carrying precise, valuable facts about where the work is breaking.

Lesson 2 — The Real Costs: Trust, Turnover, Safety, Ideas, and Risk

Ignoring feedback is not a soft problem with soft consequences. It produces hard costs that show up in money, in injuries, in courtrooms, and in the slow hollowing-out of a team's ability. This lesson walks through the five costs one at a time, because each one works differently and each one can be interrupted early — if someone is listening.

The first cost is lost trust, and it is the root of the other four. Trust in a workplace is mostly built from small kept promises: you said you would look into it, and you did; you asked what we thought, and our answer visibly shaped the decision. Ignored feedback breaks that promise in a particularly corrosive way, because the employer asked. A survey that disappears into silence teaches a sharper lesson than never surveying at all: it teaches that the asking was theatre. Once employees conclude that consultation is decoration, they stop investing in every other initiative too. Change programmes fail, new systems are worked around instead of adopted, and managers find themselves pushing every improvement uphill against a workforce that has learned, from experience, that its knowledge is not wanted. Trust, once lost this way, is slow to rebuild, because the only proof that works is a track record — months of loops visibly closed.

The second cost is turnover. People rarely leave jobs only for pay. They leave managers, they leave frustration, and they leave the feeling that their daily reality does not matter to anyone with power. Exit interviews in workplace after workplace surface the same pattern: the problem that finally drove someone out was raised months earlier and ignored. The financial cost is real even in modest roles — recruiting, advertising, interviewing, onboarding, training, and the months of lower productivity while a new person learns — and it is commonly estimated at a significant fraction of the role's annual salary, rising steeply for skilled and experienced staff. The hidden cost is worse: the leavers are rarely random. The people with the most options — your most employable, most experienced people — leave first. Ignoring feedback does not just shrink a team; it selectively removes the people you could least afford to lose, and their knowledge of customers, systems, and workarounds walks out with them.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

The third cost is safety. Frontline staff are a workplace's early-warning system. They see the damaged equipment, the blocked exit, the shortcut that is becoming a habit, the aggressive customer pattern, the fatigue building in a rota. Safety researchers have a blunt finding that repeats across industries from healthcare to construction to aviation: disasters are usually preceded by warnings that somebody voiced and somebody dismissed. The nurse who said the ward was too short-staffed to be safe. The technician who reported the valve twice. When those warnings are ignored, two things happen. The hazard stays. And the warnings stop — which means the next hazard, the one that causes the injury, is never reported at all. A workplace where safety feedback dies is a workplace flying without instruments, and the eventual cost is measured in people hurt, enforcement action, compensation, and in the worst cases, criminal liability for the organization and its leaders.

The fourth cost is lost ideas. The people closest to the work see waste, duplication, and customer pain that no dashboard shows. A receptionist knows which form confuses every patient. A driver knows which route order saves forty minutes. A retail assistant knows which product customers ask for by description because the signage is wrong. These micro-improvements are the raw material of productivity, and they are volunteered freely — at first. Ideas are the most fragile form of feedback because they require optimism: the belief that suggesting a better way is worth the effort. Two or three ideas met with silence, or worse, adopted later and credited elsewhere, and the flow stops. The organization keeps paying for the waste the idea would have removed, every week, indefinitely — an invisible tax levied by its own deafness.

The fifth cost is legal and reputation risk. Many legal claims begin life as internal concerns. An employee who raises bullying, discrimination, unsafe practice, or unpaid hours internally is giving the organization the chance to fix it cheaply and privately. When that concern is dismissed, mocked, or buried, the employee's next step is outside: a regulator, a lawyer, an employment tribunal or court, a union, a journalist, or a review site. At that point the organization has lost control of the story and the timetable, and the original feedback — dated, written, ignored — becomes the most damaging evidence in the case, because it proves the organization knew. Reputation follows the same path. In an era of public employer reviews, a pattern of "they ask, they never listen" is visible to every future recruit and customer who cares to look. Listening early is not just kinder. It is the cheapest risk management most workplaces will ever buy.

The Steps

1. Estimate your turnover cost honestly: pick the last three people who left, and add up recruiting time, training time, cover costs, and lost knowledge for each.
2. Review your last few exit interviews, leavers' reasons, or resignation letters, and mark every reason that had been raised as feedback before the person left.
3. Pull your safety reports for the past year and check the gap between each report and the action it produced. Long gaps and no-action reports are your risk list.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

4. List three improvements in your workplace that originally came from frontline staff. Then ask what the last idea from the floor was, and when — if you cannot name one recently, your idea flow has stopped.

5. Think of your most serious internal concern from the past two years and ask: if it went external tomorrow, what would our own records show about how we responded?

6. Choose the one cost that is most live in your workplace right now, and name it plainly in your next team conversation as the reason you are strengthening feedback.

A Worked Example

A care home group ran an annual staff survey. For two years running, night staff wrote in the comments that lone working on one floor felt unsafe and that the call-bell response times at night were too slow. The comments were read, noted in a summary, and never answered. In the third year, a resident fell at night and waited a long time for help; the family complained to the regulator. The inspection that followed found the fall, and then found the survey comments — two years of dated, written warnings, acknowledged by no one, acted on by no one. The regulator's report used the organization's own survey as evidence that the risk was known. The improvements the home was then required to make — extra night staffing on that floor and a new bell system — were the very things staff had suggested, now installed under enforcement pressure, at higher cost, alongside a damaged public rating that took years to recover. The feedback had been free. Ignoring it was not.

Common Mistakes

- **Pricing only the visible costs.** Turnover invoices are easy to count; the lost idea that would have saved an hour a day for five years never appears on a statement.
- **Assuming legal risk only comes from bad intentions.** Most claims grow from ordinary concerns that were handled dismissively, not from deliberate wrongdoing.
- **Reading exit interviews as sour grapes.** A departing employee has the least reason to lie of anyone in the building. Treat exit feedback as the most honest data you get.
- **Fixing the hazard but not the silence.** After an incident, repairing the equipment without repairing the reporting culture leaves the next hazard unreported.
- **Believing reputation damage needs a scandal.** A steady pattern of "they never listen" reviews quietly raises your recruiting costs for years.

Lesson 3 — Building Channels People Actually Use

Most workplaces do not lack feedback channels. They lack feedback channels that fit how people actually work and what people actually fear. A suggestion box in a corridor nobody passes, a survey link sent to staff who have no work email, an open-door policy in an office on a different floor, a meeting where the manager talks for fifty minutes and asks "any questions?" in the fifty-first — these are channels in name only. Building channels people use starts from a different question: not "how would we like to receive feedback?" but "what would make it easy and safe for the least powerful person here to tell us something we do not want to hear?"

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

Design for variety, because people differ. Some people speak readily in a group; others will only ever write. Some trust their own manager; others need a route around them — and needing a route around a manager is not disloyalty, it is a predictable feature of human organizations, because sometimes the feedback is about the manager. A healthy system offers at least four kinds of route. Speaking routes: regular one-to-ones where feedback is a standing item, team meetings with real time protected for it, and a named senior person outside the line who will listen. Writing routes: a simple form or book or inbox that takes two minutes, not twenty, and works for staff without desks or computers — paper near the work counts, if someone genuinely empties and answers it. Anonymous routes: a way to raise something without a name attached, because some true and important things will only ever be said anonymously; anonymity has limits — you cannot ask follow-up questions — but a channel that captures a warning anonymously beats a perfect channel that never hears it. And representative routes: a staff rep, a safety rep, or a small rotating group who gather themes and raise them collectively, which protects individuals and often produces better-framed feedback.

Design for the working day, too. Feedback that requires staying late, finding a computer, or writing formal prose will only ever come from a narrow slice of your workforce. Put short feedback moments inside paid time and inside existing routines: the last five minutes of a shift handover, a standing question in the weekly huddle — "what got in your way this week?" — a two-question pulse check instead of an annual forty-question survey. Frequency beats size. A small question asked monthly and visibly answered teaches people that speaking works. A giant survey asked yearly and answered never teaches the opposite lesson at industrial scale.

Every channel also needs three published promises, or people will invent their own pessimistic versions. A response promise: every piece of feedback gets an acknowledgment within a set time — two working days is a good standard — even if the answer takes longer. A protection promise: raising feedback in good faith will never be held against anyone, and retaliation is itself a disciplinary matter; this promise must be enforced visibly the first time it is tested, because that test will decide whether anyone believes it. And a reporting promise: the team will regularly hear what was raised, what was done, and what was not done and why. Finally, mind the physical and practical details that quietly decide whether channels work: privacy where conversations happen, language and literacy — some staff will need to give feedback verbally or in another language — and access for night staff, remote staff, and part-time staff, who are the easiest to design out by accident and often hold the most distinctive knowledge.

The Steps

1. Audit your current channels against the least powerful person test: could a new, part-time, night-shift worker with no work email use each one? Fix or replace the ones that fail.
2. Make sure you offer at least one route of each kind: speaking, writing, anonymous, and representative or outside-the-line.
3. Move feedback into paid time: add one standing feedback question to an existing meeting or handover, and protect the minutes for it.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

4. Shorten the ask: replace or supplement the annual survey with a two- or three-question pulse check you can run monthly and answer within days.

5. Publish the three promises — response time, protection from retaliation, and regular reporting back — where staff will actually see them.

6. Check the practical barriers: privacy, language, literacy, devices, and shift patterns. Remove one barrier this month.

7. Test the system yourself: submit a piece of test feedback through each route and time how long acknowledgment takes.

A Worked Example

A cleaning company with staff spread across client sites had a feedback problem it described as "our people never tell us anything." Its only channels were an annual survey sent by email — most cleaners had no company email — and a head-office phone number. The operations manager rebuilt the system around the working day. Supervisors began ending each site visit with the same two questions: "What is making the job harder than it needs to be? What do you need that you have not got?" A simple paper feedback card went into every site's supply cupboard, collected weekly, with a named promise that every card got a reply through the supervisor within a week. A phone number for a manager outside the supervisor line was printed on the card for anything a person could not raise locally. Within two months the cards surfaced a broken vacuum model issued across six sites, a chemical supplied without the right gloves, and a scheduling pattern that left one site locked out weekly. All three were fixed cheaply. The staff had not changed. The channels had finally been built where the staff actually were.

Common Mistakes

- **One channel for everyone.** A single route filters out everyone it does not suit — usually the people with the most important warnings.
- **Digital-only channels for non-desk staff.** If giving feedback requires a login a person does not have, you have not offered a channel; you have offered an excuse.
- **Anonymous boxes that are never seen to be emptied or answered.** An anonymous route without visible reporting back is a shredder with extra steps.
- **Asking for feedback with no time to give it.** Channels launched in a memo but never given minutes in the working week die quietly.
- **Launching channels without the protection promise being real.** The first time someone suffers for speaking up, every channel you own closes at once, whatever the posters say.

Lesson 4 — Listening Well: Receiving What You Would Rather Not Hear

Channels deliver feedback to your door. Listening decides whether anything walks through it. Listening well is a skill with a technique, and it matters most at the exact moment it is hardest: when the feedback is critical, clumsily delivered, aimed at your own decisions, or raised by someone you find difficult. The first thirty seconds of your response teach the speaker — and

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

everyone watching — whether speaking was worth it. A sigh, a joke, an instant explanation, or a counter-attack in that moment can undo a year of channel-building.

Start with the discipline of receiving before responding. Let the person finish. Do not interrupt to correct a detail, because correcting details before hearing the whole message tells the speaker that accuracy about small things matters more to you than the problem they came with. Listen for the underlying fact and need beneath the words. Feedback often arrives wrapped in emotion or exaggeration — "this place never listens," "the rota is a joke" — and the untrained ear argues with the wrapping. The trained ear unwraps: underneath "never" is usually a specific, recent, checkable event. Ask for it, kindly and concretely: "Tell me about the last time that happened." "Walk me through what you saw." "What did it stop you doing?" Specifics turn heat into information, and they also test the feedback fairly — real concerns survive questions, and misunderstandings dissolve under them without anyone losing face.

Separate the message from the messenger and the delivery. Feedback from a person with a grievance can still be accurate. Feedback delivered angrily can still be right. Feedback from your least favourite employee can be the most valuable you receive all month, because they may be the only one willing to say it. The reverse discipline matters too: warm delivery does not make feedback true. Check claims against the work itself — go and see. Some of the best listening happens on foot: standing where the problem happens, watching the process, asking the second and third person. "Go and see" also signals respect more powerfully than any speech about valuing people.

Manage your own defensiveness as a professional duty. Criticism of a system you built or a decision you made will sting; that sting is not information about the feedback, it is information about your attachment. Have a prepared first sentence for hard moments — "Thank you for telling me. I want to understand this properly" — and use it to buy your calmer self time. Never punish the bearer in the moment, even with tone. Never demand to know who else thinks it, which turns feedback into a headcount contest. Never explain why the person is wrong before you have established what actually happened. And write it down in front of them. A manager who picks up a notebook when an employee speaks is performing an act of respect that employees remember for years: this is being recorded; this will not evaporate. Close every feedback conversation with the same three things: a summary in your own words so the person can correct it, an honest statement of what happens next, and a timescale for when they will hear from you again — then honour that timescale even if the update is "still working on it."

The Steps

1. Prepare your first sentence for difficult feedback and use it every time: thank the person, and say you want to understand properly.
2. Let the speaker finish without interruption. If you need to slow your own reactions, take notes — it helps you listen and signals respect.
3. Ask concrete, open questions: "When did this last happen?" "What did you see?" "What did it stop you doing?" "What would better look like?"

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

4. Repeat the message back in your own words and let the speaker correct it until they say, "Yes, that is it."

5. Separate message, messenger, and delivery deliberately: assess the claim on its evidence, not on who said it or how.

6. Go and see for yourself where the feedback concerns a process, a place, or equipment — within days, not weeks.

7. End with next step and timescale, write both down, and diary the follow-up before the conversation is over.

A Worked Example

A restaurant manager, Priya, was told by a young waiter, in front of two colleagues, that "the kitchen favourites get the good sections and the rest of us get scraps — everyone knows it." Her first instinct was to defend the rota she had spent hours building. Instead she used her prepared sentence: "Thank you for saying it plainly. I want to understand it properly." She asked him to walk her through the last two weekends. That evening she pulled the section allocations for a month and found the pattern he described was real for Friday nights — not favouritism, but a habit: the strongest closers were repeatedly put on the busiest sections because it was easier, which concentrated both the hard work and the best tips. She summarized back to him the next day, showed him what she had found, and rebuilt the Friday allocation on a rotation, explaining it to the whole team. The waiter later became one of her most reliable raisers of problems — because the first time he spoke bluntly, he was heard accurately, checked honestly, and answered openly.

Common Mistakes

• **Arguing with the wrapping.** Debating "always" and "never" instead of asking for the last specific example wastes the feedback and wounds the speaker.

• **Instant explanations.** "The reason we do that is…" in the first minute means "your perception is wrong" to the listener, even when the explanation is true.

• **Only hearing feedback from people you rate.** Difficult messengers often carry the messages nobody else will risk delivering.

• **Taking notes on a screen while looking elsewhere.** Divided attention reads as dismissal. If you record feedback, do it visibly and attentively.

• **Promising outcomes while listening.** "I will fix that" before you have checked the facts creates a broken promise if the facts differ. Promise a response and a timescale — those you control.

• **Retaliating in miniature.** A colder tone, a worse shift, a joke at the speaker's expense next week — staff read these perfectly, and the channel closes.

Lesson 5 — Closing the Loop: Act, Report Back, and Keep People Speaking

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

The loop is the whole system in one idea. Feedback is raised, acknowledged, considered, decided on, acted on — or not acted on, with reasons — and the outcome is reported back to the people who raised it. Every stage matters, but the stage workplaces skip is the last one, and it is the stage that determines whether there will ever be another round. People can accept "no." What they cannot accept, and should not be asked to accept, is nothing. Silence after feedback reads as contempt, even when the truth is that the idea was discussed for an hour and rejected for good reasons the speaker never heard. Closing the loop converts private management activity into public trust.

Run the loop on a simple, visible rhythm. Acknowledge fast: a personal word, a note, a reply — within your promised time — that says what was heard, in the raiser's own terms. Decide honestly: not everything can or should be done. Some feedback conflicts with safety law, budget reality, or other people's feedback. Deciding "no" is legitimate management; deciding silently is not. Act proportionately: quick wins should be done quickly and visibly, because early visible fixes are the proof that powers the whole system; bigger items get a named owner and a date, not a vague place in a queue. Then report back in a form the whole team can see: a short "You said — we did — we could not, because" notice on the board, in the huddle, or in the team chat. The "we could not" section is not a weakness of the format; it is its credibility. Teams that only ever hear yeses learn to suspect the list. Teams that hear honest noes, with reasons and with the door left open — "if the budget changes in April we will revisit it" — learn that the process is real.

Some feedback needs special handling inside the loop. Safety warnings jump the queue: acknowledge the same day, assess immediately, and if you cannot fix the hazard at once, control it — take the equipment out of use, change the practice — while the fix is arranged, and tell the raiser what you did. Feedback about a named person, such as bullying or unfair treatment, must leave the public loop: it goes into a private, fair process where both the raiser and the person named are treated properly, and the raiser is told the process has started and, at the end, that it has concluded — without confidential details being shared. Feedback that turns out to be mistaken still deserves a respectful loop-closing: show what you checked and what you found, because the person raised it in good faith and the next person watching will decide whether to raise theirs. And feedback that is really a cry for help — exhaustion, distress, a workload that has become impossible — is not an admin item at all; it is a person, and the first response is support, not process.

Keep the record light but real. A simple feedback log — date, what was raised, who owns it, what was decided, when the raiser was told — does three jobs at once. It stops items evaporating when a manager is away or leaves. It gives you the material for your reporting-back rhythm without relying on memory. And it is your evidence, if you ever need it, that concerns were heard and handled — the document that turns "someone raised that months ago" from an accusation into a demonstration of a working system. Review the log monthly with fresh eyes: items ageing without decisions, themes repeating across months, groups whose feedback never appears at all. Repetition is information — the third time the same rota complaint surfaces, it is no longer feedback about a rota; it is feedback about whether the first two responses worked. Treat the loop as maintenance on trust itself, scheduled like any other maintenance, because

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

like any other system, it fails when nobody maintains it.

The Steps

1. Acknowledge every piece of feedback within your promised time, restating what you heard in the raiser's own terms.
2. Give every item a named owner and a decision date in a simple feedback log: date, item, owner, decision, date reported back.
3. Do quick wins fast and visibly; they are the proof that keeps the system alive.
4. Publish a regular "You said — we did — we could not, because" update through a channel the whole team actually sees.
5. Fast-track safety warnings: same-day acknowledgment, immediate control of the hazard, and a personal report back to the raiser.
6. Route feedback about named individuals into a private, fair process, and tell the raiser it has started and when it has concluded.
7. Review the log monthly for ageing items, repeating themes, and silent groups — and act on what the pattern tells you.

A Worked Example

A small manufacturing firm started a feedback log on a single shared page after losing two skilled machinists in a quarter. The operations lead published the first "You said — we did" list on the workshop board after one month. It contained eleven items. Six were done: a repaired extractor fan staff had mentioned for a year, a moved first-aid station, a changed delivery slot that ended a daily bottleneck, and three smaller fixes. Three were in progress with dates and owners named. Two were honest noes: a request for a second forklift the budget could not carry, with the reasoning shown and a review date set, and a shift-swap request that conflicted with safety cover rules, explained plainly. The board did more than inform — it changed the conversation. Suggestions doubled in the second month, including one from a quiet apprentice about reorganizing a tool store that saved the team measurable time every single day. At the six-month review, nobody had resigned. The manager's summary to the owner was one line: the fixes were cheap; it was the reporting back that people had been waiting for.

Common Mistakes

- **Doing the work but never telling anyone.** An unreported fix buys a fraction of the trust of a reported one. If they cannot see it, it did not happen — for feedback purposes.
- **Only reporting yeses.** A list with no honest noes looks staged. Reasons given respectfully build more trust than silent rejections ever destroy.
- **Letting acknowledgment slip when the answer is slow.** "Still with me, decision by Friday" takes thirty seconds and keeps the loop alive; silence while you deliberate reads as burial.
- **Treating the log as bureaucracy.** The log is memory and evidence. The week your best manager is on leave is the week you will be glad it exists.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

- **Closing the loop once and declaring the culture fixed.** Trust is rented, not owned. The rhythm — acknowledge, decide, act, report — has to keep running, especially in busy weeks when skipping it is most tempting.

Try It — The Thirty-Day Loop Repair

This exercise rebuilds a feedback loop in one month, using only time inside the working week. In week one, audit. List your channels, test each one yourself, and pull together every piece of unanswered feedback you can find — old survey comments, suggestion cards, meeting notes, exit comments. Enter them all in a simple log with dates. Count them honestly; that number is your starting point. In week two, acknowledge and triage. Contact every living raiser you can reach with a short, honest message: you raised this, it did not get the response it should have, here is what happens now. Sort the log into quick wins, items needing a decision, safety items needing immediate control, and private matters needing a proper process. Do every quick win you can. In week three, decide and act. Hold one focused session to decide the open items — yes, no with reasons, or in progress with an owner and a date. Nothing leaves that session still undecided. Publish your first "You said — we did — we could not, because" update where the whole team will see it, including the honest noes. In week four, install the rhythm that keeps it alive: the standing feedback question in an existing meeting, the acknowledgment promise and its timescale, the monthly log review in your diary, and the date of the next published update. At the end of the thirty days, ask the team one question, in person, in small groups: "If you saw a problem tomorrow, what would you do — and what do you honestly think would happen next?" Write the answers down. They are the truest measure of whether your loop is repaired, and they are the first entries in next month's log.

Fortress shares what I learned, not professional advice.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

Copyright

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress. All rights reserved. These lessons are provided free for personal learning on Fortress. You may download and read for yourself. You may not upload, sell, publish, or claim these lessons as your own. Official certificates of completion are only issued on Fortress after passing the test at 80% or higher.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication