Copyright

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress. All rights reserved. These lessons are provided free for personal learning on Fortress. You may download and read for yourself. You may not upload, sell, publish, or claim these lessons as your own. Official certificates of completion are only issued on Fortress after passing the test at 80% or higher.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

How to Be Both Assertive and Likable

Many people believe they must choose: speak up and be disliked, or stay quiet and be liked. That choice is false, and believing it costs people a great deal. The quiet ones watch credit go elsewhere, workloads grow unfairly, and small disrespects harden into patterns — then the resentment builds until it bursts out in a way that does real damage. The blunt ones get heard, but at the price of trust: people stop bringing them information, stop inviting them early, and comply without ever truly agreeing. This set teaches the third path that skilled people in every workplace actually use: assertiveness with warmth, firmness with respect — being clear about what you need while remaining someone others genuinely want to work with.

Being assertive, in plain words, means stating your views, needs, and limits honestly and directly, while respecting the same rights in others. It is not aggression, which pushes for your needs by diminishing someone else. It is not passivity, which buries your needs to keep the peace. It is not the polished manipulation sometimes taught as office politics. Assertiveness is simpler and harder than all three: saying the true thing, in a measured way, at a useful time, and then listening as carefully as you spoke. Likability, as this set uses the word, is not popularity and it is not people-pleasing. It is the trust and goodwill that accumulate when people experience you as honest, fair, competent, and kind — someone whose yes means yes, whose no comes with reasons, and whose presence makes work easier rather than tenser.

This set helps anyone who has rehearsed a sentence in the shower and swallowed it in the meeting. It helps new managers who must correct people they used to work beside, professionals whose expertise gets talked over, team members carrying more than their share, and anyone whose culture, upbringing, or past workplaces taught them that speaking up is dangerous or rude. It also helps the naturally direct person who keeps hearing, in feedback, words like "intimidating" or "blunt," and suspects — correctly — that the message is landing harder than intended.

Why does the combination matter so much? Because influence runs on both channels at once. People grant real cooperation — the kind that includes their ideas, their early warnings, and their extra effort — to those they both respect and like. Respect without warmth produces compliance and distance. Warmth without respect produces affection and overload: the "nice" person everyone likes and everyone dumps work on. The lessons that follow build both channels deliberately: clarity about needs and limits, language that keeps dignity intact on both sides, timing and listening as skills, repair when you get it wrong, and the steady habits that make assertiveness your reputation rather than your exception.

What You Will Learn

- The real difference between passive, aggressive, and assertive behaviour — and how to spot your own default under pressure.
- A simple structure for stating a need or concern clearly: observation, impact, need, and request.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

- How to say no — to tasks, timelines, and requests — without lying, over-apologizing, or burning goodwill.
- How to disagree in meetings and in writing while keeping people willing to work with you.
- How to ask for what you have earned — credit, resources, feedback, a raise conversation — plainly and without apology.
- How to receive pushback, criticism, and "no" without collapsing or counterattacking.
- How to repair quickly when you have been too sharp or too silent, and why repair builds more trust than perfection.
- Habits that make assertiveness sustainable: preparation, timing, brevity, and keeping small promises to yourself.

Lesson 1 — The Three Styles: Passive, Aggressive, Assertive

Under pressure, most people fall into one of three patterns. Naming them honestly — including in yourself — is the foundation everything else in this set stands on, because you cannot choose a better response until you can see the one you are currently having.

The passive pattern protects harmony at the price of truth. Its signs: agreeing in the meeting and complaining in the corridor; saying "it's fine" when it is not; letting others decide and then resenting the decision; apologizing for having a need at all. Passivity is often mistaken for kindness, including by the person doing it. It is not kindness. It withholds information the team needs — your real capacity, your real view, your early warning that something is wrong — and it stores pressure. Stored pressure leaks: as sarcasm, as sudden tears or anger wildly out of proportion to the moment, as quiet quitting of effort, as illness and exhaustion. Many people who see themselves as "not assertive at all" are actually assertive in bursts — silent for months, then explosive for a minute — and the burst, unfairly but predictably, is what people remember.

The aggressive pattern protects truth, as the person sees it, at the price of other people. Its signs: interrupting and talking over; framing disagreement as incompetence; ultimatums as a first resort; volume, sarcasm, or personal remarks used as tools; winning the point and losing the room. Aggression often comes from the same root as passivity — a fear of not being heard — expressed in the opposite direction. It can produce short-term results, which is why it persists. Its long-term costs are quieter and larger: people stop telling you bad news early, stop offering ideas in front of you, agree in your presence and work around you in your absence. A leader or colleague who must rely on others' honesty and initiative — which is to say, almost everyone — pays for aggression with blindness.

The assertive pattern protects both truth and people. Its signs are learnable behaviours, not personality traits: stating views in plain first-person language ("I think we should...", "I need...", "I can't take that on this week"); describing behaviour and impact rather than character; asking real questions and listening to the answers; disagreeing with ideas while remaining visibly respectful of the person; holding a limit calmly when pressed; and being able to hear "no" without punishing the speaker. Notice what is absent: raised volume, threats, guilt, hints, and tests. Assertiveness does not require a particular temperament. Some of the most assertive people in

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

any workplace are soft-spoken; their clarity, consistency, and follow-through do the work that volume does for others.

Expect your default to shift with context. Many people are assertive with their own team, passive with senior leaders, and aggressive only when exhausted or driving. Keep a small private tally for two weeks: situation, my pattern, what it cost. Patterns you can see, you can change. Patterns you deny run the meeting for you.

The Steps

1. Learn the three patterns until you can name them in the moment: passive (truth sacrificed), aggressive (people sacrificed), assertive (both protected).
2. Keep a two-week tally after notable interactions: the situation, the pattern you used, and one honest line about what it cost or gained.
3. Identify your two most common trigger situations — for example, senior leaders, tight deadlines, being surprised in public — and plan for them specifically.
4. Choose one assertive behaviour to practise first, small and concrete: stating your view once in every meeting you attend, or naming one limit per week without apologizing for it.
5. After each practice, note what actually happened versus what you feared. The gap between feared and real consequences is the evidence that retrains the habit.

A Worked Example

Nadia is a project coordinator in a hospital department. In her tally, a pattern jumps out: with her own team she is clear and calm, but in the weekly meeting with senior managers she goes silent — even when she holds scheduling information that contradicts the plan being made. Twice, her silence let the meeting approve clinic schedules her team could not staff, and she then absorbed the crisis quietly, working late and growing resentful at leaders who, in fairness, had never heard her warning. Nadia chooses one practice: in each senior meeting, she will state one operational fact, early, in one sentence. The first time, her voice shakes: "That schedule needs two coordinators on the 14th, and we have one." The chair pauses, checks, and adjusts the date. Nothing bad happens. By the sixth week, stating constraints is simply her job in that room — and her late nights have halved, because the plans themselves became realistic. Nadia did not become a different person. She moved one true sentence from the corridor into the meeting.

Common Mistakes

- **Confusing assertive with aggressive.** If the other person leaves smaller, it was not assertiveness, whatever the intention was.
- **Confusing passive with kind.** Withholding your real capacity or warning does not spare people — it sets them up for a bigger failure later.
- **Judging your style by your intentions.** Others experience your behaviour, not your motives. The tally measures behaviour, where change actually happens.
- **Trying to transform everything at once.** One practised behaviour, repeated, rewires a pattern. A grand resolution dissolves by Thursday.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

Lesson 2 — Say It Clearly: The Structure of an Assertive Message

Most assertive moments fail not from lack of courage but from lack of structure. The concern comes out as a general complaint ("This project is a mess"), a character judgment ("You never listen"), or a hint ("Some people might think this deadline is unrealistic") — and the listener, defensive or confused, misses the actual need entirely. A simple four-part structure fixes most of this: observation, impact, need, request. It is short enough to use under pressure and complete enough that the listener knows exactly what you saw, why it matters, what you need, and what you are asking for.

Observation: state what happened in plain, checkable words, without adjectives doing hidden work. "In the last three team meetings, the design review started twenty minutes late" is an observation. "The meetings are chaotic and disrespectful" is a verdict wearing an observation's clothes. Observations can be discussed and verified; verdicts can only be defended against. Keep this part brief and factual, and use "I" language for your own experience — "I noticed," "I received" — which is both more accurate and less accusatory than sweeping "you always" statements, which are nearly always untrue and always heard as attacks.

Impact: say, honestly, what the situation does — to the work, to customers, to the team, to you. "So the build team loses their first hour" or "I end up finishing the report at home most nights." Impact is where many people under-speak, especially those raised to not make a fuss. Without the impact, a reasonable listener may agree with your observation and still change nothing, because nothing seems to be at stake. Impact is not drama. Stated plainly and without exaggeration, it is simply the reason this conversation exists.

Need and request: name what you need in general terms, then make one specific, doable request. "I need the review time protected so the build team can plan. Could we start at nine sharp, and move anything that runs over to the afternoon slot?" A request is not a demand: it can be discussed, adjusted, or refused with reasons, and that discussion is often where the real solution appears — the other person may know a better route to your need than your first request imagined. End by listening. The structure buys you a clear opening, not a script for the whole conversation. Deliver it in a level tone, at a reasonable moment, in roughly thirty seconds, and then genuinely hear the reply. Brevity is part of likability here: the person who makes a clear point in half a minute and listens for two minutes is experienced as strong and fair. The person who builds a ten-minute case is experienced as a weather event.

Practise the structure on small things first — a recurring minor annoyance, a scheduling need, a request for information — where the stakes let you focus on form. Within weeks it becomes available for the large things, because under real pressure we do not rise to our intentions; we fall to our practised habits.

The Steps

1. Prepare the four parts in writing for any important conversation: observation (facts only), impact (what it causes), need (what you require), request (one specific, doable ask).

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

2. Strip verdict words from the observation — replace "always," "never," and character labels with dates, counts, and quotes of what was actually said or done.

3. State the impact plainly, without exaggerating or minimizing. If it costs you something real, say so once, clearly.

4. Make the request specific enough to be answered yes or no, and reasonable enough that a fair person could say yes.

5. Deliver it briefly, in a level tone, at a workable moment — then stop talking and listen to the full reply before responding.

6. If the reply solves the need by a different route, take the win. The need was the point, not your exact first request.

A Worked Example

Ben, an analyst, keeps receiving his manager's data requests late on Friday afternoons, each marked urgent, each due Monday. His first draft of a complaint begins, "You have no respect for my weekends." He rewrites it with the structure. Observation: "Three of the last four Fridays, a request arrived after four o'clock marked urgent for Monday." Impact: "I've worked part of each of those weekends, and the Monday work is rushed, which is how the error in last week's pack got in." Need: "I need either earlier notice or a deadline that reflects when the request arrives." Request: "Could we agree that anything arriving after three on Friday is due Tuesday, unless we talk and I confirm I can do Monday?" His manager, who had genuinely not tracked the pattern, agrees on the spot — and, hearing the impact on accuracy, adds a check of his own sending habits. Ben's verdict version would have started an argument about respect. The structured version started a fix, because there was nothing in it to argue with except the facts, and the facts were true.

Common Mistakes

- **Leading with a verdict.** "You never listen" guarantees a defence of the past instead of a fix for the future.
- **Skipping the impact.** Without a stake, your request reads as preference or fussiness, and busy people triage it away.
- **Making a demand instead of a request.** Demands invite resistance on principle. Requests invite problem-solving — and a refused request can still be negotiated.
- **Burying the point in a long case.** Length signals uncertainty and exhausts goodwill. Thirty clear seconds, then listening, is the stronger move.

Lesson 3 — Saying No Without Burning the Bridge

No is the hardest assertive word, and the most necessary. A person who cannot say no does not actually have a yes — they have a default. Their commitments pile past their capacity, quality quietly rots, deadlines slip without warning, and the eventual failure arrives as a surprise to everyone who trusted the yes. Learning to say no clearly, kindly, and early is therefore not selfishness. It is how your yes becomes trustworthy. People like and respect colleagues whose

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

yes means something, and a yes only means something when no is visibly possible.

A good no has four qualities. It is prompt — said as soon as you know, not after a week of hopeful silence that wastes the requester's planning time. It is honest at the right depth — a true reason in brief, without a fabricated excuse and without a full confession of your life circumstances. "I don't have capacity this week; the audit pack is taking everything" is honest and sufficient. It is complete — a clear no, not a "maybe" that means no, which is the worst of both worlds. And where you genuinely can, it offers an alternative: a later date, a smaller version, a different person, a partial contribution. The alternative is not a ransom you must pay for the right to decline. Offer it when it is real; a clean no with no alternative beats a false alternative offered from guilt.

Watch the two classic corruptions of no. The first is over-apology and over-explanation: "I'm so sorry, this is terrible of me, the thing is..." — a paragraph of justification invites the requester to argue with the justification, and signals that you yourself believe your limit needs defending. State the limit as a fact of capacity, the way you would state the weather. The second corruption is the resentful yes followed by punishment: agreeing, then delivering late, coldly, or with visible martyrdom. That pattern damages likability more than any honest no ever has, because people experience it as unreliability plus blame.

There are settings where no needs extra care: to a manager, to a client, in cultures or workplaces where direct refusal carries heavier social cost. The structure adapts without collapsing. To a manager, pair the no with visibility and a choice: "With the current list, taking this on means the report slips to Thursday. Which would you like me to prioritize?" That is not refusal disguised; it is the real constraint, placed where it belongs — with the person who owns the priorities. In higher-context settings, the no may arrive as "That timing will be very difficult for the quality you need — could we look at the following week?" The firmness lives in the content and the follow-through, not in the bluntness of the wording. What must not vary is the honesty: the requester leaves knowing the true state of things and able to plan.

Finally, receive no well when it is given to you. Thank the person for the clear answer, take the alternative if offered, and never punish a clean no with coldness. Every workplace learns from how its noes are received. If you want honest limits from others — and your planning depends on them — model gratitude for them, visibly, especially when disappointed.

The Steps

1. Decide quickly and answer promptly. A fast, kind no is more respectful than a slow, guilty maybe.
2. State the no plainly, with one brief true reason of capacity or priority: "I can't take that on this week — the audit pack has all my hours."
3. Do not over-apologize or build a legal case for your limit. One "sorry" at most; the limit itself needs no defence.
4. Offer a real alternative only if you genuinely have one: a later date, a smaller scope, part of the task, or a better-suited person.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

5. With a manager, present the trade-off and let them choose the priority: "Adding this moves the report to Thursday — which matters more?"

6. When you receive a no, thank the person for clarity and plan around it without penalty. You are training the honesty you depend on.

A Worked Example

Ruth is the person everyone in her office asks for help with presentations, because she is good at them and has never declined. By the time a colleague, Paul, asks her to rebuild his deck "whenever she has a spare hour," she is already staying late twice a week and her own project is slipping. Her old pattern would be a smiling yes and a resentful weekend. Instead she answers the same afternoon: "I can't take that on this week — my own launch pack is behind and it's taking my evenings already. What I can do is give you twenty minutes tomorrow to look at your structure, and the template I use is in the shared folder." Paul is briefly surprised, then visibly relieved to have a real answer, and the twenty minutes turns out to be what he actually needed — his content was fine; his structure was the problem. Ruth's launch recovers. Months later Paul tells a new starter, "Ask Ruth. She'll tell you straight, and if she says yes, it's done properly." That reputation — straight, and yes means yes — is likability in its working form.

Common Mistakes

- **The maybe that means no.** It steals the requester's time to find a real alternative and ends in the same refusal, plus justified irritation.
- **Over-explaining the no.** Long justifications invite negotiation against your reasons and signal that you think your limits are suspect.
- **The punished yes.** Agreeing, then delivering late and resentfully, teaches people that your word and your goodwill are both unreliable.
- **Believing no needs anger to be believed.** A limit stated calmly, once, and held consistently is believed. Volume is a substitute people use when consistency is missing.

Lesson 4 — Disagreeing, Asking, and Being Heard

Assertiveness shows most visibly in three recurring moments: disagreeing with a proposal, asking for something you need or have earned, and speaking in rooms where others talk more easily. Each has its own traps, and each yields to the same combination — preparation, plain language, and respect made visible.

Disagreeing well begins by separating the idea from its owner, out loud. "I see it differently" lands differently from "That's wrong," and steelmanning — stating the other view fairly before answering it — disarms more resistance than any rhetorical move: "The appeal of the single supplier is simplicity, and I get that. My worry is what happens to us the week they can't deliver." Acknowledge what is true in the other position before adding what it misses; people can hear a concern after they feel heard, and rarely before. Keep disagreement proportional: a concern is not a catastrophe, a preference is not a principle. Save your strongest language — "I can't support this," "This crosses a safety line" — for the rare matters that warrant it, and it will be

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

believed when it comes. In writing, the same rules apply with extra care, because text carries no tone of voice: state your view once, with your reasons, offer the alternative, and avoid the reply-all escalation ladder. If a written thread heats up, move it to a conversation; almost nothing is gained by winning an email war in front of an audience.

Asking for what you need follows the structure of Lesson 2 with one addition: evidence, calmly kept. The person who asks for resources, credit, development, or a pay conversation with a short factual record — results delivered, scope grown, problems solved — is not bragging; they are making the decision easy for the person who must approve it. Keep such a record as you go, in a private file, because memory in the moment retrieves feelings, not facts. Ask directly rather than hinting: hints put the other person in the position of guessing, and a missed hint becomes, unfairly, a grievance. "I'd like to talk about my pay at my review, because my role has grown in these three ways" is a complete, dignified ask. And ask at a workable time — scheduled, private, with the decision-maker — not ambushed in a corridor, where even a sympathetic listener can only give you a hurried non-answer.

Being heard in meetings is partly technique and partly preparation. Arrive with your point written in one sentence; if you cannot write it in one sentence, you do not yet know it. Speak early on items you care about, before positions harden and before your courage spends itself. If you are interrupted, use a calm bridge — "Let me finish this point, then I want to hear yours" — and finish it, briefly. If your idea is later repeated by someone else to applause, claim it with grace rather than grievance: "That's the approach I suggested earlier — glad it landed; here's the detail that makes it work." Grace in that moment is remembered longer than the idea. And use allies honestly: agreeing beforehand with a colleague that you will each make space for the other's points is not conspiracy; it is how every confident speaker you admire actually operates.

The Steps

1. Before disagreeing, state the other view fairly in one sentence. Then give your concern, its evidence, and your alternative.
2. Keep your language proportional: concerns as concerns, principles as principles. Reserve your strongest lines for what truly warrants them.
3. Keep a private running record of your results, grown scope, and solved problems — the evidence file for every future ask.
4. Ask directly, privately, and at a scheduled time. Name what you want, the factual basis, and the decision you are asking for.
5. In meetings, prepare your point in one sentence, speak early on what matters to you, and use a calm bridge line when interrupted.
6. In writing, state your position once with reasons, avoid public escalation, and move heated threads to a live conversation.

A Worked Example

In a planning meeting, Tom, a senior technician, listens as a new maintenance schedule is presented that his team will have to run. He can see a flaw: the schedule assumes a part that

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

takes six weeks to arrive can be fitted in week two. His younger self would have said nothing and absorbed the chaos later, or snapped "This will never work" and been written off as negative. Instead he says: "The logic of grouping the jobs by area makes sense, and it'll save travel. The piece I can't make work is the pump job in week two — that seal takes six weeks to arrive, so we'd open the unit and leave it open. Could we swap the pump job with the week-six inspection, which needs no parts?" The presenter checks, the swap is made in the room, and the manager thanks him for catching it before it became a shutdown. Tom disagreed with the plan's centre and left the meeting more valued, not less — because his disagreement arrived as information plus a solution, aimed at the plan and not at the planner.

Common Mistakes

- **Disagreeing with the person instead of the proposal.** The moment a critique becomes about competence or motive, the room stops evaluating ideas and starts choosing sides.
- **Hinting instead of asking.** Unspoken expectations cannot be met. An unmet hint then poisons a relationship the other person did not know was being tested.
- **Saving your voice for the perfect moment.** Meetings decide things at ordinary moments. A good point made early and plainly beats a brilliant one rehearsed for next week.
- **Escalating in writing.** Email strips tone and adds audience. The assertive move in a hot thread is a short message proposing a conversation.

Lesson 5 — Pushback, Criticism, and Repair

Assertiveness is tested less by how you speak than by how you respond when the room pushes back. Someone disputes your facts. A manager criticizes your work in front of others. Your clear, reasonable request gets a flat no. In these moments the old patterns surge — collapse into apology and retreat, or counterattack to restore pride. The assertive response is a third thing: steady, curious, and unwilling to abandon either the truth or the relationship. This lesson covers receiving hard responses, and then the skill that makes all the others sustainable — repair.

Receiving criticism well starts with separating three questions that arrive tangled: Is any of this true? What is the fair response to the true part? And how do I handle the unfair part or the unfair delivery? Slow the moment down. Listen to the whole criticism without interrupting, even when your rebuttal is fully formed by the second sentence — interrupting a critic, like interrupting anyone, mostly proves you cannot hear. Ask clarifying questions that force specificity: "Which part of the report fell short — the figures or the summary?" Specific criticism can be answered, learned from, or respectfully disputed with evidence. Vague criticism ("not thorough enough") cannot, and asking for specifics is assertive, not defensive. Where the criticism is right, say so plainly and say what you will change. Nothing disarms a critic or builds credibility like a clean, ungrudging "You're right about that part — I'll fix it this way." Where it is wrong, dispute the point with your evidence, calmly and once, without disputing the person's right to raise it. Where the delivery itself was the problem — public, personal, or raised in anger — you may address that too, separately and later: "I'm glad to discuss the report. I need us to do it without the personal remarks, and not in front of the client." That sentence, delivered levelly, is advanced

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

assertiveness, and it works precisely because it is rare and fair.

Receiving a no to your request follows similar lines. Ask what sits behind it — timing, budget, a rule, a genuine disagreement — because some noes are "not now" or "not in that form," and the reason tells you which. Accept a true no with visible grace. The person who can hear no without sulking or retaliating becomes the person others say yes to more readily next time, because yes was never extracted under threat. Keep the door open factually: "Understood. If the budget changes, my request stands — I'll check back in the autumn." Then actually check back, once, at the time named. Persistence that is calm, spaced, and factual is assertive. Persistence that is weekly and emotional is pressure, and people defend against it.

Repair is the final skill, and the one that makes likability durable. You will sometimes be too sharp — tired, rushed, stung — and sometimes too silent, missing the moment your voice was needed. Perfection is not available; repair is. A real repair is prompt, specific, and unadorned: "I spoke over you in that meeting and dismissed your point. That was wrong. Your point about the timeline deserved an answer." No excuses braided in, no "sorry if you felt" — which apologizes for the other person's feelings rather than your own act. Repair does not weaken authority; it is one of the strongest signals of it, because only a secure person can afford accuracy about themselves. It also teaches the whole room that mistakes here get owned rather than buried, which is the culture in which other people start being honestly assertive with you. The goal of this set was never to perform assertiveness flawlessly. It is to become, over months, the person whose clarity people rely on and whose company people are glad of — including on the days you get it wrong and fix it.

The Steps

1. When criticized, listen fully before responding. Ask for specifics until the criticism is concrete enough to act on, learn from, or dispute with evidence.
2. Agree plainly with the true part and name your fix. Dispute the untrue part once, with evidence, without attacking the critic's right to raise it.
3. Address bad delivery separately and later: name the behaviour, state your need, keep it brief and level.
4. When your request is refused, ask for the reason, accept a genuine no gracefully, and agree if and when you may revisit it.
5. When you have been too sharp or too silent, repair promptly and specifically: name your act, own it without excuses, and state what you will do instead.
6. Keep a small record of pushback moments and how you handled them. Review monthly; the pattern of your recoveries is where the growth is.

A Worked Example

Priya, a team leader, presents her unit's results to a director who responds, in front of her peers, "This is thin — your team needs to be more thorough." Priya feels the heat rise and her old pattern — a defensive flood of detail — rising with it. Instead she asks, "Can you point me to where it fell short for you — the data or the recommendations?" The director, slightly surprised,

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

names the recommendations: they lacked costs. "You're right," Priya says. "The costs were in my working papers and didn't make the pack. I'll circulate a costed version by tomorrow." The room relaxes; the director nods and moves on. Afterwards Priya sends the costed version with a short note — and adds one line, privately, to the director: "Happy to be pushed on the work. In front of the team is hard for them to hear about themselves, though — could we do the detailed critique one-to-one next time?" The director agrees, and does. Priya later counts that exchange as the moment her credibility with both the director and her own team quietly doubled — she had been criticised, agreed where it was true, fixed it fast, and set a boundary about delivery, all without a raised voice or a surrendered inch.

Common Mistakes

- **Answering criticism before hearing it.** Interrupting a critic signals that your pride outranks the facts, and forfeits whatever learning was on offer.
- **The non-apology apology.** "Sorry if you were offended" owns nothing. People hear the evasion precisely, and trust drops further than if you had said nothing.
- **Punishing a no.** Sulking, coldness, or instant escalation after a refusal teaches everyone to avoid your requests entirely — or to lie to you with yeses they will not honour.
- **Treating repair as weakness.** Unrepaired sharpness is what actually costs authority. A prompt, specific apology is read by rooms as strength, every time.

Try It

This week, run three small, deliberate practices and write down what actually happens each time. First, the tally: after two or three notable interactions, note which pattern you used — passive, aggressive, or assertive — and one line about the cost or gain. Second, one structured message: take a real, minor concern and deliver it in the four parts — observation, impact, need, request — in under a minute, then listen fully. Third, one clean no: decline something you genuinely cannot take on, promptly, with one brief true reason and a real alternative if you have one. At the week's end, read your notes and compare what you feared would happen with what did. Most people find the feared consequences — anger, rejection, a damaged reputation — simply did not occur, while the gains arrived immediately: a fixed problem, an hour reclaimed, a colleague's visible relief at a straight answer. Keep the notes. They are the evidence base your next, bigger assertive moment will stand on.

Fortress shares what I learned, not professional advice. General info only, not legal / HR / financial advice.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication

Copyright

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress. All rights reserved. These lessons are provided free for personal learning on Fortress. You may download and read for yourself. You may not upload, sell, publish, or claim these lessons as your own. Official certificates of completion are only issued on Fortress after passing the test at 80% or higher.

© 2026 Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress — Destiny Marie Morgan Fortress School — All rights reserved. Not for resale or republication